Uncertainty Margins for SP-8072 Lift Off Acoustic Loads Estimation



Robert Lawson, Quartus Engineering Inc. Paul Bremner, AeroHydroPLUS

June 4-6, 2019



© The Aerospace Corporation 2017

- Motivation
- SP-8072 Lift-off Acoustics Model
- Uncertainty Analysis
- Comparison with ASMAT, SMAT results



Motivation

- All launch vehicles need to define liftoff acoustic (LoA) loads
- Smaller / newer LV programs cannot afford model scale testing
- NASA ASMAT & SMAT tests provide valuable model validation data
- Model can be used to determine which tests will burn down uncertainty margins





- Motivation
- SP-8072 Lift-off Acoustics Model
- Uncertainty Analysis
- Comparison with ASMAT, SMAT results



SP-8072 Model

- NASA SP-8072 provides a basic methodology to develop Liftoff Acoustic Loads by subdividing plume into increments of apparent acoustic sources
- Example SP-8072 model used here to illustrate uncertainty margin implementation
- Model includes additional effects:
 - Reflections from Launchpad
 - Water attenuation
 - Deck / bridge attenuation
 - Splash / drift effect



Engine Parameters & Overall Sound Power

- Overall sound power calculated using five methods
 - Eldred, Gierke, McDonnel Douglas, and Potter & Crocker (small-medium engines only)
 - Sutherland & Plotkin method implemented, but requires data which many not be available
- Data can be augmented or replaced with hot fire test data once available
- Different estimates can be used for uncertainty analysis
 - Mean sound power is used
 - Standard deviation used in uncertainty analysis





Source-Power Distribution and Propagation

- Power is distributed along plume length according to NRSP model
- Several different models / assumptions available for cluster of multiple engines
 - Correlation to test data may lead to weighting one model more than another
 - These models could be augmented or replaced with hot fire test data





Directivity Index

- Several different sets of directivity index have been published
 - Eldred, Sutherland / Plotkin, and MSFC Thiokol RSRM
 - Data can be augmented or replaced with hot fire test data
 - Different DI methods can be used in uncertainty analysis



Figure 10. – Directivity of far-field noise for standard chemical rockets for several values of Strouhal number.

Plotkin and Sutherland Directivity Index 10 뜅 Directivity Index, -5 -10 -15 -20 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 Angle from Exhaust, Deg

Plotkin and Sutherland Directivity Index

Use MEAN and STD DEV over these differing estimates (not Maxi-max)

MSFC / Thiokol RSRM data from: "Modifications to the NASA SP-8072 Distributed Source Method II for Ares I Lift-off Environment Predictions", Kenny, Haynes, 2009 NASA Document 20090023640





Water Attenuation

- Water attenuation scales with ratio of water mass / propellant mass (Ww/Wp)
- For apparent sources below deck and attenuated by water, a frequency dependent attenuation is applied
 - These values are empirical "fit to SPL data" estimates only
- Above deck "Rainbird" water attenuation estimates are based on SMAT and ASMAT test data published by MSFC
 - These two data sets provide an excellent ensemble for calculating a mean and standard deviation for use in uncertainty analysis





Calculated SPL on Vehicle – Mean Result

- Calculate overall SPL level
- Sample results are MEAN overlays only



Data from:

"Verification of Ares I Liftoff Acoustic Environments via the Ares I Scale Model Acoustic Test", Counter, Houston, 2012 NASA Document 20130000589



Data from:

"Ares I Scale Model Acoustic Test Above Deck Water Sound Suppression Results", Counter, Houston, 2011 NASA Document 20120001741



- Motivation
- SP-8072 Lift-off Acoustics Model
- Uncertainty Analysis
- Comparison with ASMAT, SMAT results



Uncertainty model

End-to-end math model of SP-8072





$$\begin{split} L_{P}(z,h,f) &= 10Log\left\{\overline{p}_{Direct}^{2} + \overline{p}_{Reflect}^{2} + \overline{p}_{Splash}^{2} + \overline{p}_{PreDuct}^{2} + \overline{p}_{Duct}^{2}\right\} \\ &= 10Log\left\{\left(\frac{A_{i}\overline{D}_{i}\overline{S}_{i}\overline{\Pi}_{M}}{4\pi\overline{r_{i}}^{2}}\right)_{Direct} + \left(\frac{A_{j}\overline{D}_{j}\overline{S}_{j}\overline{\Pi}_{M}}{2\pi\overline{r_{j}}^{2}}\right)_{Reflect} + \left(\frac{A_{s}\overline{S}_{s}\overline{\Pi}_{M}}{2\pi\overline{r_{s}}^{2}}\right)_{Splash} + \left(\frac{A_{k}\overline{D}_{k}\overline{S}_{k}\overline{\Pi}_{M}}{2\pi\overline{r_{k}}^{2}}\right)_{PreDuct} + \left(\frac{A_{l}\overline{D}_{l}\overline{S}_{l}\overline{\Pi}_{M}}{2\pi\overline{r_{l}}^{2}}\right)_{Duct}\right\} \end{split}$$



Uncertainty model

MPE from Normal Tolerance Limit



$$P95[L_{p}] = \langle L_{p} \rangle + 1.64 \sigma_{L_{p}}$$
$$P05[L_{p}] = \langle L_{p} \rangle - 1.64 \sigma_{L_{p}}$$

$$L_{P} = 10Log\left\{\overline{p}_{Direct}^{2} + \overline{p}_{Reflect}^{2} + \overline{p}_{PreDuct}^{2} + \overline{p}_{Splash}^{2} + \overline{p}_{Duct}^{2}\right\} \quad dB$$

Statistics of Log of Sum of Random Variables

$$\left\langle L_{\Sigma p^{2}} \right\rangle \neq 10 \log \left\{ \left\langle \Sigma p^{2} \right\rangle \right\}$$

$$= 10 \log \left\{ \left\langle \Sigma p^{2} \right\rangle \right\} - 5 \log \left\{ 1 + r^{2} \left[\Sigma p^{2} \right] \right\}$$

$$\sigma^{2} \left[L_{\Sigma p^{2}} \right] = 43.4 \log \left\{ 1 + r^{2} \left[\Sigma p^{2} \right] \right\}$$

where Relative Variance is defined

$$r^{2}\left[\Sigma p^{2}\right] = \sigma_{\Sigma p^{2}}^{2} / \langle\Sigma p^{2}\rangle$$

robert.lawson@quartus.com pbremner@aerohydroplus.com



Uncertainty model

Relative Variance from Component Variances

Variance of total Lp from variance of each plume Segment SPL contribution

$$\left\langle \Sigma p^{2} \right\rangle = \left\langle p_{Direct}^{2} \right\rangle + \left\langle p_{Reflect}^{2} \right\rangle + \left\langle p_{PreDuct}^{2} \right\rangle + \left\langle p_{Splash}^{2} \right\rangle + \left\langle p_{Duct}^{2} \right\rangle$$

$$\sigma^{2} \left[\Sigma p^{2} \right] = \sigma^{2} \left[p_{Direct}^{2} \right] + \sigma^{2} \left[p_{Reflect}^{2} \right] + \sigma^{2} \left[p_{Splash}^{2} \right] + \sigma^{2} \left[p_{PreDuct}^{2} \right] + \sigma^{2} \left[p_{Duct}^{2} \right]$$

Segment SPL variance from Component Sound Power & Radiation variances $\left\langle p_{\xi}^{2} \right\rangle = \left\langle \Pi_{m} \right\rangle \left\langle A_{\xi}^{water} \right\rangle \left\langle S_{\xi} \right\rangle \left\langle D_{\xi} \right\rangle / \left\langle 4\pi R_{\xi}^{2} \right\rangle$ $r^{2} \left[p_{\xi}^{2} \right] \approx r^{2} \left[\Pi_{m} \right] + r^{2} \left[A_{\xi}^{water} \right] + r^{2} \left[S_{\xi} \right] + r^{2} \left[D_{\xi} \right] + r^{2} \left[R_{\xi}^{2} \right]$ $\frac{\sigma_{p_{\xi}^{2}}^{2}}{p_{\xi}^{2}} \approx \frac{\sigma_{\Pi}^{2}}{\langle \Pi_{m} \rangle} + \frac{\sigma_{A_{\xi}}^{2}}{\langle A_{\xi} \rangle} + \frac{\sigma_{S_{\xi}}^{2}}{\langle S_{\xi} \rangle} + \frac{\sigma_{D_{\xi}}^{2}}{\langle D_{\xi} \rangle} + \frac{\sigma_{R_{\xi}^{2}}^{2}}{\langle R_{\xi}^{2} \rangle}$



robert.lawson@quartus.com pbremner@aerohydroplus.com

- Motivation
- SP-8072 Lift-off Acoustics Model
- Uncertainty Analysis
- Comparison with ASMAT, SMAT results



Comparison with ASMAT, SMAT

Testing reduces uncertainty margins



Data from:

"Verification of Ares I Liftoff Acoustic Environments via the Ares I Scale Model Acoustic Test", Counter, Houston, 2012 NASA Document 20130000589



SUMMARY

- Inputs to SP-8072 are frequently uncertain which can lead to large uncertainty margins
- Quantitative uncertainty analysis:
 - Robust statistical basis for MPE (eg. P95/50)
 - Identifies dominant sources of uncertainty
 - Justifies testing to burn down margins
- Hotfire testing to measure overall sound power and directivity can reduce two uncertainties in the model
 - Test may also be devised to extract source distribution



Questions ?



